arXiv:hep-th/0107040v1 5 Jul 2001

Free fermionic higher spin fields in AdS_5

K.B. Alkalaev

Tamm Theory Division, Lebedev Physical Institute,
119991 Moscow, Russia

Jule 2001

Abstract

Totally symmetric massless fermionic fields of arbitrary spins in AdS_5 are described as $su(2,2)$ multispinors. The approach is based on the well-known isomorfism $o(4,2) \sim su(2,2)$. Explicitly gauge invariant higher spin free actions are constructed and free field equations are analyzed.

E-mail: alkalaev@lpi.ru

1 Introduction

The essential progress has recently been achieved in the construction of consistent interactions of massless higher spin fields in d = 5 (for review of the theory of higher spin gauge fields in d = 2, 3, 4 see [1, 2]). It was shown in [3] that a consistent gravitational interaction of massless bosonic higher spin fields in AdS_5 does exist at least in the fist nontrivial order.

One of the crucial points of the work [3] is the description of AdS_5 bosonic higher spin fields by making use of $su(2,2)$ spinor language. In particular, the 5d higher spin symmetry algebra underlying such theories admits a natural realization in terms of certain star product algebra with spinor generating elements [4, 5, 3].

The aim of the present work is to elaborate the same language being applied to AdS_5 higher spin fermionic fields. This is motivated by a desire to gain a uniform description of both bosons and fermions in order to analyze AdS_5 supersymmetric higher spin gauge theories [6]. As a first step in this direction we formulate free fermionic action in a manifest gauge invariant manner and analyze its general structure. We conclude that up to irrelevant topological contributions an ambiguity in coefficients reduces to an overall factor in front of the action of a given spin.

One should note that fermionic higher spin fields were originally considered in the framework of Lorentz tensor-spinors and the corresponding free action functionals were constructed [7]. However, the language of tensor-spinors seems to be inadequate to the interaction problem because already at the free level consideration one runs into great technical complications [7]. On the other hand, as we shall see, the analysis in terms of multispinors simplifies drastically.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we collect following [3] some relevant facts about $su(2,2)$ spinor language. In section 3 we describe 5d fermionic higher spin fields in terms of $su(2,2)$ spinors. In sections 4 and 5 we study linearized higher spin curvatures and construct the quadratic fermionic action possessing all necessary higher spin symmetries. Free field equations are analyzed in section 6. Finally, in section 7 we give a brief summary of our results.

2 Preliminaries

In what follows we give some formulae related to $su(2,2)$ spinors and AdS_5 gravity as gauge theory of $su(2,2)$. As in [3], we introduce the set of oscillators

$$[a_\alpha, b^\beta]_* = \delta_\alpha{}^\beta \ , \quad [a_\alpha, a_\beta]_* = [b^\alpha, b^\beta]_* = 0 \ , \qquad \alpha, \beta = 1 \div 4 \ , \tag{1}$$

where subscript $*$ refers to Weyl star-product

$$(A * B)(a, b) = A(a, b) \ \exp(\triangle) \ B(a, b) \tag{2}$$

where

$$\triangle = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial a_\alpha} \ \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial b^\alpha} - \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial b^\alpha} \ \frac{\overrightarrow{\partial}}{\partial a_\alpha}) \tag{3}$$

and commutators are taken with respect to $*$-product. One may show that the traceless bilinears $t_\alpha{}^\beta$ of a_α and b^β commuting with $a_\alpha b^\alpha$ span $sl_4(\mathbf{C})$ algebra [3, 4]. The $su(2,2)$ real form of $sl_4(\mathbf{C})$ results from the reality condition

$$\bar{a}_\alpha = b^\beta C_{\beta\alpha} \ , \qquad \bar{b}^\alpha = C^{\alpha\beta} a_\beta \ , \tag{4}$$

where bar denotes the complex conjugation while C are some real antisymmetric matrices satisfying

$$C_{\alpha\gamma} C^{\beta\gamma} = \delta_\alpha{}^\beta \ . \tag{5}$$

The oscillators b^α and a_α form the fundamental and the conjugated fundamental representations of $su(2,2)$ equivalent to the two spinor representations of $o(4,2)$.

The AdS_5 gravitational fields are identified with the connection 1-forms taking values in the AdS_5 algebra $su(2,2)$:

$$\Omega = \Omega^\alpha{}_\beta \, t_\alpha{}^\beta \ . \tag{6}$$

The connection should decompose as the usual Lorentz connection and the frame field. We may perform this split in a gauge-invariant manner by introducing a compensator field [9, 10, 3] which is antisymmetric bispinor $V^{\alpha\beta} = -V^{\beta\alpha}$. The compensator is normalized so that $V_{\alpha\gamma} V^{\beta\gamma} = \delta_\alpha{}^\beta$ and $V_{\alpha\beta} = 1/2 \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\rho} V^{\gamma\rho}$. The Lorentz subalgebra is then identified with its stability algebra. This allows one to define the frame field and Lorentz connection as follows [3]

$$\begin{aligned} & E^{\alpha\beta} = DV^{\alpha\beta} \equiv dV^{\alpha\beta} + \Omega^\alpha{}_\gamma V^{\gamma\beta} + \Omega^\beta{}_\gamma V^{\alpha\gamma} \ , \quad E^{\alpha\beta} V_{\alpha\beta} = 0 \ , \\ & W^\alpha{}_\beta = \Omega^\alpha{}_\beta + \frac{1}{2} E^{\alpha\gamma} V_{\gamma\beta} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where we omitted an explicit dependence on the cosmological parameter λ. The AdS_5 field strength corresponding to gauge fields (6) has the form

$$R_\alpha{}^\beta = d\,\Omega_\alpha{}^\beta + \Omega_\alpha{}^\gamma \wedge \Omega_\gamma{}^\beta \tag{8}$$

and the background AdS space is extracted by the zero-curvature condition $R_\alpha{}^\beta = 0$ [1, 2]. The corresponding background frame field and Lorentz connection will be denoted $h^\alpha{}_\beta$ and ${w_0}^\alpha{}_\beta$.

We will treat $V^{\alpha\beta}$ as a symplectic form that allows to rise and lower spinor indices in the Lorentz covariant way $A^\alpha = V^{\alpha\beta} A_\beta \ , \ A_\alpha = A^\beta V_{\beta\alpha}$.

In the subsequent sections we will make use of this spinor language to describe AdS_5 higher spin fermionic fields as $su(2,2)$ multispinors. It will be shown that fermionic massless fields corresponding to the totally symmetric γ-transverse tensor-spinor representations of Wigner little group $SO(3)$ are appropriately described as $su(2,2)$ traceless multispinors $\Omega^{\alpha(m)}_{\beta(n)}$ with $|m-n| = 1$. When handling with multispinors we adhere conventions introduced and explained in [8]. Namely, a number of symmetric indices is indicated in parentheses. Lower and upper indices denoted by the same letter are assumed to be symmetrized separately. After this

symmetrization is carried out, the maximal possible number of lower and upper indices denoted by the same letter should be contracted.

3 Fermionic fields

In Ref. [7] fermionic AdS massless higher spin fields corresponding to the totally symmetric tensor-spinor irreducible representations of the flat little group $SO(3)$ are described as Lorentz tensor-spinors. In this section we present the formulation in terms of $su(2,2)$ multispinors. We exploit the fact that all required Lorentz higher spin tensors emerge under dimensional reduction of the particular representation of $o(4,2)$ [13, 3].

According to [7] in order to describe a 5d massless field of spin $s' = s + 3/2$ a set of 1-forms $dx^{\underline{n}}\omega_{\underline{n}}{}^{a(s),\,b(t),\,\alpha}$ is to be introduced with fixed s, all $0 \leq t \leq s$, where $\alpha = 1 \div 4$ is Dirac spinor index, $a, b = 0 \div 4$ are Lorentz vector indices and underlined indices $\underline{n} = 0 \div 4$ are used for differential forms and vectors in $5-$dimensional space-time. These tensors represent traceless Young diagrams with respect to Lorentz vector indices and satisfy the γ-transversality condition. The field $\omega_{\underline{n}}{}^{a(s),\,b(0),\,\alpha}$ is treated as dynamical spin s' field. All other fields with $t > 0$ serve as auxiliary ones which are needed for constructing of consistent higher spin curvatures but will be shown to fall out of the free actions.

The collection of the higher spin 1-forms with all $0 \leq t \leq s$ can be interpreted as a result of the dimensional reduction of the irreducible tensor-spinor representation of AdS_5 algebra $o(4,2)$ described by a traceless two-row Young diagram $\Omega^{\,A(s),\,B(s),\,\hat{\alpha}} \equiv dx^{\underline{n}}\Omega_{\underline{n}}{}^{\,A(s),\,B(s),\,\hat{\alpha}}$, $A, B = 0 \div 5$, $\hat{\alpha} = 1 \div 8$, which is subject to the Γ-transversality condition. Every spin appears twice since under dimensional reduction 6d Dirac spinor splits into two 5d spinors.

Now let us examine identification of this rectangular representation with its $su(2,2)$ multispinor counterpart. This schematically looks as follows

$$\Omega^{\alpha(s+1)}_{\beta(s)} \oplus \bar{\Omega}^{\,\alpha(s)}_{\,\beta(s+1)} \sim \Omega^{\,A(s),\,B(s),\,\hat{\alpha}} \,, \tag{9}$$

where $\alpha, \beta = 1 \div 4$ and the mutually conjugated multispinors are assumed to be traceless:

$$\Omega^{\alpha(s)\gamma}_{\beta(s-1)\gamma} = \bar{\Omega}^{\,\alpha(s-1)\delta}_{\,\beta(s)\delta} = 0 \,. \tag{10}$$

The simplest way to establish this isomorfism is to compare the dimensionalities. The straightforward calculation shows that the number of independent components of $o(4,2)$ spin-tensor is

$$n(s) = \frac{5}{3}(s+1)(s+2)^3(s+3) \,. \tag{11}$$

Similarly, one finds that

$$\dim \Omega^{\alpha(s+1)}_{\beta(s)} = \dim \bar{\Omega}^{\,\alpha(s)}_{\,\beta(s+1)} = \frac{n(s)}{2}. \tag{12}$$

To decompose multispinor $\Omega^{\alpha(s+1)}_{\beta(s)}$ in irreducible Lorentz tensors we make use of the compensator $V_{\alpha\beta}$

$$\Omega^{\alpha(s+1)}_{\beta(s)} = \sum_{t=0}^{s} \omega^{\alpha(s+1)\gamma(t),\gamma(s-t)} V_{\beta(s),\gamma(s)} \ , \tag{13}$$

where $V_{\alpha(m),\,\beta(m)}$ denotes $V_{\alpha_1\beta_1}V_{\alpha_2\beta_2}\dots V_{\alpha_m\beta_m}$. The similar decomposition holds for conjugated multispinor $\bar{\Omega}^{\ \alpha(s)}_{\dot\beta(s+1)}$. Lorentz higher spin fields $\omega^{\alpha(s+t+1),\beta(s-t)}$, $0 \le t \le s$ represent $su(2,2)$ Young diagram and all traces with $V^{\alpha\beta}$ are zero:

$$\omega^{\alpha(s+t+1),\,\alpha\beta(s-t-1)} = 0 \ , \qquad \omega^{\alpha(s+t+1),\,\beta(s-t)} V_{\alpha\beta} = 0 \ . \tag{14}$$

It is easy to get convinced that Lorentz higher spin tensors $\omega(t,s)$ in vector and spinor notations have the same number of independent components:

$$\begin{aligned} &\dim \omega^{a(s),\,b(t),\,\alpha} = \dim \omega^{\alpha(s+t+1),\,\beta(s-t)} \\ &= \frac{10}{3}(s+2)(s+t+3)(s-t+1)(t+1) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Traceless multispinors with equal number of the upper and lower indices $\Omega^{\alpha(s)}_{\beta(s)}$ correspond to bosonic fields with spin $s' = s+1$ as was demonstrated in [5, 3]. In [6] we show that fermionic higher spin gauge fields discussed in this paper along with bosonic ones considered in [5, 3] originate from the HS algebra related to special Heisenberg-Clifford superalgebra [4, 12].

4 Higher spin linearized curvatures

In accordance with the analysis of the previous section, 5d higher spin $s+3/2$ fields can be described by 1-forms $\Omega^{\alpha(s+1)}_{\beta(s)}(x) \equiv dx^{\underline{n}}\Omega_{\underline{n}\ \beta(s)}^{\ \alpha(s+1)}(x)$ and their conjugates which are traceless multispinors symmetric in the upper and lower indices. In what follows we exploit the formalism of generating function of oscillators (1) which is convenient for handling with arbitrary-rank tensors and have often been used for description of higher spin fields. As in [3], we introduce

$$\Omega(a,b|x) = \Omega^{\alpha(s+1)}_{\beta(s)}(x)\, a_{\alpha(s+1)} b^{\beta(s)} \ . \tag{16}$$

The corresponding 5d linearized higher spin field strength has the form

$$R = d\Omega + \Omega_0 * \wedge \Omega + \Omega * \wedge \Omega_0 \tag{17}$$

with

$$\Omega_0(a,b|x) = \Omega_0{}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}\, a_\alpha b^\beta, \qquad \Omega_0{}^{\alpha}{}_{\alpha} = 0 \ , \tag{18}$$

which represents background gravitational fields satisfying the zero-curvature condition $d\Omega_0 + \Omega_0 \wedge *\Omega_0 = 0$ (for more details see [1, 2]). Equivalently, using (1)

$$R(a,b|x) = d\,\Omega(a,b|x) + \Omega_0{}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}(b^{\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial b^{\alpha}} - a_{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial a_{\beta}}) \wedge \Omega(a,b|x)\ . \tag{19}$$

The component form of the curvatures (19) is

$$R\,{}^{\alpha(s+1)}_{\beta(s)} = d\,\Omega\,{}^{\alpha(s+1)}_{\beta(s)} - (s+1)\,\Omega_0{}^{\alpha}{}_{\gamma}\ \wedge \Omega\,{}^{\gamma\,\alpha(s)}_{\beta(s)} - s\,\Omega_0{}^{\gamma}{}_{\beta}\ \wedge \Omega\,{}^{\alpha(s+1)}_{\beta(s-1)\,\gamma}\,. \tag{20}$$

The linearized (abelian) higher spin transformation are

$$\delta\Omega(a,b|x) = D_0\epsilon(a,b|x)\ , \tag{21}$$

where the background covariant derivative has the form

$$D_0 = d + \Omega_0{}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}(b^{\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial b^{\alpha}} - a_{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial a_{\beta}})\ . \tag{22}$$

It is easy to see that $\delta R(a,b|x) = 0$.

In what follows we will use the two sets of the differential operators in the spinor variables introduced in [3]:

$$S^{-} = a_{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial b^{\beta}}V^{\alpha\beta}\ , \qquad S^{+} = b^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial a_{\beta}}V_{\alpha\beta}\ , \qquad S^{0} = N_b - N_a\ , \tag{23}$$

and

$$T^{-} = \frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial a_{\alpha}\partial b^{\alpha}}\ , \qquad T^{+} = a_{\alpha}b^{\alpha}\ , \qquad T^{0} = \frac{1}{4}(N_a + N_b + 4)\ , \tag{24}$$

where

$$N_a = a_{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial a_{\alpha}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad N_b = b^{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial b^{\alpha}}\ . \tag{25}$$

With the aid of (23) and (24) one can easily reformulate all irreducibility conditions on $\Omega(a,b)$ in the form:

$$T^{-}\Omega(a,b) = 0\ , \qquad (1+S^{0})\Omega(a,b) = 0\ . \tag{26}$$

As demonstrated in section 3, the higher spin gauge field Ω admits the representation in terms of the Lorentz - irreducible higher spin fields (13). Here we take advantage of introducing of operators (23) and rewrite (13) as follows:

$$\Omega(a,b|x) = \sum_{t=0}^{s}(S^{+})^{t}\,\omega^{t}(a,b|x), \tag{27}$$

where

$$\omega^{t}(a,b|x) = \omega^{\alpha(s+t+1),\,\beta(s-t)}\,(x)a_{\alpha(s+t+1)}b_{\beta(s-t)}. \tag{28}$$

All irreducibility conditions on the Lorentz multispinors $\omega^{t}(a,b)$, namely Young diagram property and V-transversality (14), can be rewritten as:

$$S^{-}\omega^{t}(a,b) = 0\ , \qquad T^{-}\omega^{t}(a,b) = 0\ . \tag{29}$$

The linearized higher spin curvatures (19) admit the expansion analogous to (27):

$$R(a,b|x) = \sum_{t=0}^{s} (S^+)^t \, r^t(a,b|x) \, , \tag{30}$$

with $r^t(a,b)$ satisfying (29). One can make sure that the higher spin gauge invariance of (30) requires for r^t the following structure [7]

$$r^t = \mathcal{D}\omega^t + \mathcal{T}^-\omega^{t+1} + \mathcal{T}^0\omega^t + \mathcal{T}^+\omega^{t-1} \tag{31}$$

with the corresponding gauge transformation which leave Lorentz higher spin curvatures (31) invariant

$$\delta\omega^t = \mathcal{D}\epsilon^t + \mathcal{T}^-\epsilon^{t+1} + \mathcal{T}^0\epsilon^t + \mathcal{T}^+\epsilon^{t-1} \tag{32}$$

Here 0-forms ϵ^t are gauge parameters. $\mathcal{D}$ is the background Lorentz covariant derivative

$$\mathcal{D} = d + w_0^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}(a_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial a_\beta} + b_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial b_\beta}) \, , \tag{33}$$

and operators $\mathcal{T}^-$, $\mathcal{T}^+$ and $\mathcal{T}^0$ have the form:

$$\mathcal{T}^+ = -(1+S^0)\; h^{\alpha}{}_{\beta} a_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial b_\beta} \tag{34}$$

$$\mathcal{T}^0 = -\frac{1}{S^0}\; h^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}(b_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial b_\beta} - a_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial a_\beta} + \frac{2}{S^0-2}(b_\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial a_\gamma})a_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial b_\beta}) \tag{35}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}^- = \frac{1}{(S^0)^2}\; h^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}((2-S^0)b_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial a_\beta} + b_\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial a_\gamma}(b_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial b_\beta} - a_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial a_\beta}) \\ + \frac{1}{S^0-3}\; (b_\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial a_\gamma})^2 a_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial b_\beta}) \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

These operators satisfy the following important relations

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\mathcal{T}^0, \mathcal{T}^-\} = \{\mathcal{T}^0, \mathcal{T}^+\} = 0, \\ &(\mathcal{T}^-)^2 = 0 \; , \; (\mathcal{T}^+)^2 = 0 \; , \\ &\{\mathcal{T}^-, \mathcal{T}^+\} + (\mathcal{T}^0)^2 + \mathcal{D}^2 = 0 \; . \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Note that coefficients in (34-36) can be changed by field redefinitions of the form $\widetilde{\omega}^t = C(t,s)\omega^t$ with $C \neq 0$.

It is worth noting that the operator $\mathcal{T}^0$ in (32) may be viewed as a higher spin generalization of the operator γ_μ in the super-AdS transformation for gravitino field $\delta\psi_\mu = \mathcal{D}_\mu\epsilon + i\gamma_\mu\epsilon$ [11].

5 Higher spin fermionic action

The aim of this section is to formulate the action principle for the free gauge massless fermionic fields in AdS_5. We exploit the same technique developed previously for construction of 5d bosonic actions [3].

As in [7, 3], actions for massless fermionic fields with spin $s' = s + 3/2$ are assumed to be of the form

$$\mathcal{S}_2^{s+3/2} = \int_{\mathcal{M}^5} \hat{H} \wedge R^s(a_1, b_1) \wedge \bar{R}^{\,s}(a_2, b_2)|_{a_i=b_i=0} \,, \tag{38}$$

where R^s denotes linearized higher spin curvatures (19) constructed in the previous section and 1-form $\hat{H}$ is the following differential operator

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H} = \zeta(p,q) h_\alpha{}^\beta \frac{\partial^2}{\partial a_{2\alpha} \partial b_1^\beta} \hat{c}_{12} \hat{c}_{12} + \gamma(p,q) h_\alpha{}^\beta \frac{\partial^2}{\partial a_{1\alpha} \partial b_2^\beta} \\ + \alpha(p,q) h_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial a_{1\alpha} \partial a_{2\beta}} \hat{b}_{12} \hat{c}_{12} + \beta(p,q) h^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial b_1^\alpha \partial b_2^\beta} \hat{a}_{12} \hat{c}_{12} \,. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Here $h_\alpha{}^\beta$ is the background frame field and the coefficients $\alpha,\ \beta,\ \gamma$ and ζ responsible for various types of indices contractions between the frame field and curvatures depend on the operators:

$$p = \hat{a}_{12} \hat{b}_{12} \,, \qquad q = \hat{c}_{12} \hat{c}_{21} \,, \tag{40}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a}_{12} = V_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial a_{1\alpha} \partial a_{2\beta}} \,, \qquad \hat{b}_{12} = V^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial b_1^\alpha \partial b_2^\beta} \,, \\ \hat{c}_{12} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial a_{1\alpha} \partial b_2^\alpha} \,, \qquad \hat{c}_{21} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial a_{2\alpha} \partial b_1^\alpha} \,. \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

The action is invariant under complex conjugation $\bar{\mathcal{S}}_2 = \mathcal{S}_2$ regardless of the particular form of the real coefficients $\alpha,\ \beta,\ \gamma$ and ζ.

It is clear that generally the action (38) does not describe massless higher spin fields because of too many dynamical variables associated with extra fields $\omega^{s,\,t}$ with $t > 0$. In order to eliminate the corresponding degrees of freedom one should fix the operator $\hat{H}$ in an appropriate way. The leading principle for the determination of the form of $\hat{H}$ is the *extra field decoupling condition* [7] which requires the variation of the quadratic action with respect to the extra fields to vanish:

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{S}_2^{s+3/2}}{\delta \omega^{s+3/2,\,t}} \equiv 0 \,, \qquad \text{for } \ t > 0 \,. \tag{42}$$

The counting of physical degrees of freedom after complete gauge fixing performed in section 6 demonstrates that such an action does describe a massless spin $s + 3/2$ field.

Note that there is an ambiguity in coefficients $\alpha(p,q)$ and $\beta(p,q)$ due to the freedom in adding the surface terms

$$\delta\mathcal{S}_2 = \int_{\mathcal{M}^5} d(\Phi(p,q)\hat{c}_{12}R(a_1,b_1)\wedge \bar{R}\,(a_2,b_2)|_{a_i=b_i=0})$$

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}^5} \frac{\partial\Phi(p,q)}{\partial p}(h_{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial a_{1\alpha}\partial a_{2\beta}}\hat{b}_{12}\hat{c}_{12} - h^{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial b_1^\alpha \partial b_2^\beta}\hat{a}_{12}\hat{c}_{12}) \tag{43}$$

$$\wedge R(a_1,b_1)\wedge \bar{R}\,(a_2,b_2)|_{a_i=b_i=0}$$

where, using the manifest $su(2,2)$ invariance of our formalism, we replace the differential d with the background covariant derivative and make use of the Bianchi identities $D_0(R)=0$. As a result, the variation of the coefficients of the form

$$\delta\alpha(p,q) = \epsilon(p,q)\ , \qquad \delta\beta(p,q) = -\epsilon(p,q) \tag{44}$$

does not affect the physical content of the action, *i.e.* the combination $\alpha(p,q)+\beta(p,q)$ has invariant meaning.

Let us now consider the extra field decoupling condition. Since the generic variation of the linearized fermionic curvatures is $\delta R = D_0\delta\Omega$ and because the action is formulated in the AdS_5 covariant way, integrating by parts one obtains the generic variation of $\mathcal{S}_2$:

$$\delta\mathcal{S}_2 = \int_{\mathcal{M}^5} D_0\hat{H}\wedge\delta\Omega(a_1,b_1)\wedge \bar{R}\,(a_2,b_2))|_{a_i=b_i=0} \quad + \quad \text{c.c. part}\ . \tag{45}$$

The derivative D_0 produces the frame field every time it meets the compensator. Taking into account $D_0 h^{\alpha\beta}=0$, $h^\alpha{}_\beta = h^{\alpha\gamma}V_{\gamma\beta}$ and denoting $H^{\alpha\beta}=H^{\beta\alpha}=h^\alpha{}_\gamma\wedge h^{\beta\gamma}$ one finds

$$\begin{aligned} D_0\hat{H} &= \rho_1 H^\beta{}_\alpha \frac{\partial^2}{\partial a_{2\alpha}\partial b_1{}^\beta}\hat{c}_{12}\hat{c}_{12} + \rho_2 H^\beta{}_\alpha \frac{\partial^2}{\partial a_{1\alpha}\partial b_2{}^\beta} \\ &+\rho_3 H_{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial a_{1\alpha}\partial a_{2\beta}}\hat{b}_{12}\hat{c}_{12} + \rho_3 H^{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial b_1^\alpha\partial b_2^\beta}\hat{a}_{12}\hat{c}_{12}\ , \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1 &= (1+p\frac{\partial}{\partial p})(-2\zeta+(\alpha+\beta)), \\ \rho_2 &= (1+p\frac{\partial}{\partial p})(-2\gamma+q(\alpha+\beta)), \\ \rho_3 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial p}(\gamma-q\zeta). \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

According to (27) the extra fields variation has the form

$$\delta\Omega^{ex}(a,b) = S^{+}\xi(a,b) \tag{48}$$

with an arbitrary $\xi(a,b)$ satisfying $(N_a - N_b - 3)\xi(a,b) = 0$. Thereby, the extra field decoupling condition (42) amounts to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial\rho_2}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial\rho_2}{\partial q} + \rho_3 = 0,\\
&\frac{\partial\rho_3}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial\rho_3}{\partial q} = 0,\\
&\rho_1 + \rho_3 = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

For the trivial solution $\rho_i = 0$ the covariant derivative of $\hat{H}$ vanishes, $D_0\hat{H} = 0$, and the corresponding action functional is a topological invariant. From (47) it follows that $\rho_i = 0$ provided that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\alpha+\beta)^{\rm top} = 2\zeta^{\rm top}\ ,\\
&\gamma^{\rm top} = q\zeta^{\rm top}\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

where the function $\zeta^{\rm top}$ is an arbitrary polynomial of degree $(s-1)$ with respect to q and p. Clearly, by adding of topological invariants with the coefficients satisfying (50) we can always set $\zeta = 0$ in (38-39). Since the coefficients $\alpha,\ \beta$ and γ are assumed to be of the form $\sum_{k=0}^{m} \upsilon^{(k,\,m)} p^k q^{m-k}$ where $\upsilon^{(k,\,m)}$ are real numbers ($m = s-1$ for α, β and $m = s$ for γ), we readily write down the general solution to (49) (modulo topological invariants) as

$$\zeta = 0\ , \tag{51}$$

$$\gamma(p+q) = \gamma^{(s)}\,(p+q)^s\ , \tag{52}$$

where the real coefficient $\gamma^{(s)}$ is arbitrary, and

$$(\alpha+\beta)(p,q) = -s\gamma^{(s)}\int_0^1 d\tau (p\tau+q)^{s-1}\ . \tag{53}$$

An overall factor $\gamma^{(s)}$ in front of the action of a given spin cannot be fixed from the analysis of the free action and represents the leftover ambiguity in the coefficients (besides the trivial ambiguity (42)).

In conclusion of this section let us write down the component form of the action

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_2^{s+3/2} = {}& \gamma^{(s)}\sum_{k=0}^{s}\int_{\mathcal{M}^5} A_s^k\ h^{\gamma}{}_{\rho}\ R^{\rho\,\alpha(s-k)\,\sigma(k)}_{\beta(s-k)\,\delta(k)}\ \ \bar{R}^{\,\beta(s-k)\,\nu(k)}_{\gamma\,\alpha(s-k)\,\mu(k)}\ V_{\sigma(k),\,\nu(k)}V^{\delta(k),\,\mu(k)}\\
&-\gamma^{(s)}\sum_{k=0}^{s-1}\int_{\mathcal{M}^5} B_{s-1}^k h_{\gamma\rho} R^{\gamma\,\alpha(s-k)\,\sigma(k)}_{\beta(s-k-1)\,\delta(k+1)}\ \bar{R}^{\,\rho\,\beta(s-k-1)\,\nu(k)}_{\alpha(s-k)\,\mu(k+1)}\ V_{\sigma(k),\,\nu(k)}V^{\delta(k+1),\,\mu(k+1)}\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

where

$$A^k_s = ((s+1)!)^2\frac{s!}{k!(s-k)!}\ , \quad B^k_{s-1} = s^2((s+1)!)^2\frac{s!}{(k+1)!(s-k-1)!}\ . \tag{55}$$

The action (54) is by construction invariant under the linearized gauge transformations (21) as follows from the invariance of the linearized curvatures (20).

6 Free field equations

In this section we derive free field equations. Taking account of (46-47) and (52-53) we rewrite the nontrivial part of the variation (45) as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta\mathcal{S}_2^{s+3/2} = \\
&-s\gamma^{(s)}\int_{\mathcal{M}^5}(p+q)^{s-1}((2\frac{s+1}{s}p+\frac{s+2}{s}q)H^\beta{}_\alpha\frac{\partial^2}{\partial a_{1\alpha}\partial b_2{}^\beta}+H^\beta{}_\alpha\frac{\partial^2}{\partial a_{2\alpha}\partial b_1{}^\beta}\hat{c}_{12}\hat{c}_{12}\\
&-H_{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial a_{1\alpha}\partial a_{2\beta}}\hat{b}_{12}\hat{c}_{12}-H^{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial b_1^\alpha\partial b_2^\beta}\hat{a}_{12}\hat{c}_{12})\wedge\delta\omega^0(a_1,b_1)\wedge\bar{r}^{\,0}(a_2,b_2)\ +\ \text{c.c.}\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

By virtue of Young symmetry properties of the dynamical field and its curvature the variation (56) takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta\mathcal{S}_2^{s+3/2} = -\gamma^{(s)}\int_{\mathcal{M}^5}((p+q)^{s-1}((2s+2)p+(s+2)q)H^\beta{}_\alpha\frac{\partial^2}{\partial a_{1\alpha}\partial b_2{}^\beta}\\
&+2sH^\beta{}_\alpha\frac{\partial^2}{\partial a_{2\alpha}\partial b_1{}^\beta}\hat{c}_{12}\hat{c}_{12})\wedge\delta\omega^0(a_1,b_1)\wedge\bar{r}^{\,0}(a_2,b_2))\ +\ \text{c.c.}\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

Substituting

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega^0(a,b) &= \omega^{0\,\alpha(s+1),\,\beta(s)}a_{\alpha(s+1)}b_{\beta(s)}\\
\bar{r}^{\,0}(a,b) &= \bar{r}^{\,0}{}_{\beta(s+1),\,\alpha(s)}b^{\beta(s+1)}a^{\alpha(s)}
\end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

one finds

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta\mathcal{S}_2^{s+3/2}\sim\int_{\mathcal{M}^5}((3s+2)(s+1)H^\gamma{}_\alpha\wedge\ \bar{r}^{\,0}{}_{\gamma\,\alpha(s),\,\beta(s)}-s(3s+4)H^\gamma{}_\beta\wedge\ \bar{r}^{\,0}{}_{\alpha(s+1),\,\beta(s-1)\,\gamma})\\
&\wedge\delta\omega^{0\,\alpha(s+1),\,\beta(s)}\ \ +\ \ \text{c.c.}\ =0.
\end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

The equations of motion resulting from the variation (59) have the form

$$(s+1)H^{\gamma}{}_{\alpha}\wedge\ \bar{r}^{\,0}_{\gamma\,\alpha(s),\,\beta(s)}-s\frac{s+2}{3s+2}H^{\gamma}{}_{\beta}\wedge\ \bar{r}^{\,0}_{\alpha(s+1),\,\beta(s-1)\,\gamma}$$
$$+2s(s+1)\frac{s+1}{3s+2}H^{\gamma}{}_{\alpha}\wedge\ \bar{r}^{\,0}_{\alpha(s)\,\beta,\,\beta(s-1)\,\gamma}=0. \tag{60}$$

and the analogous equations for the conjugated field. These are invariant under the gauge transformation of the form (32)

$$\delta\,\bar{\omega}^{\,0}_{\alpha(s+1),\,\beta(s)}=\mathcal{D}\,\bar{\epsilon}^{\,0}_{\alpha(s+1),\,\beta(s)}+\frac{s}{3}h^{\gamma}{}_{\beta}\,\bar{\epsilon}^{\,0}_{\alpha(s+1),\,\beta(s-1)\,\gamma}-(s+1)h^{\gamma}{}_{\alpha}\,\bar{\epsilon}^{\,0}_{\gamma\,\alpha(s),\,\beta(s)}$$
$$-\frac{2s}{3}(s+1)h^{\gamma}{}_{\alpha}\,\bar{\epsilon}^{\,0}_{\alpha(s)\,\beta,\,\beta(s-1)\,\gamma}+2(s+2)h^{\gamma}{}_{\beta}\,\bar{\epsilon}^{\,1}_{\gamma\,\alpha(s+1),\,\beta(s-1)}$$
$$+\frac{1}{2}(s+2)(s-1)h^{\gamma}{}_{\beta}\,\bar{\epsilon}^{\,1}_{\alpha(s+1)\,\beta,\,\beta(s-2)\,\gamma}-(s+2)(s+1)h^{\gamma}{}_{\alpha}\,\bar{\epsilon}^{\,1}_{\gamma\,\alpha(s)\,\beta,\,\beta(s-1)}$$
$$-\frac{1}{4}(s+2)(s+1)(s-1)h^{\gamma}{}_{\alpha}\,\bar{\epsilon}^{\,1}_{\alpha(s)\,\beta(2),\,\beta(s-2)\,\gamma}\,. \tag{61}$$

According to (31) and (32), the linearized higher spin curvature $\bar{r}^{\,0}_{\alpha(s+1),\,\beta(s)}$ has the analogous component form.

The extra field ω^1 is guaranteed to fall out from the equations (60) as a consequence of the extra field decoupling condition (42). However one can check this fact directly. To this end let us rewrite (60) as $\hat{E}\bar{r}^0=0$ (and $\hat{E}r^0=0$ for the conjugated field). Then, according to (31) the extra field enters the equation of motion through $\hat{E}\mathcal{T}^{-}\bar{\omega}^1$ and is required to vanish. To see this let us note that

$$(\hat{E}\mathcal{T}^{-}\,\bar{\omega}^{\,1})_{\alpha(s+1),\,\beta(s)}\,\delta\omega^{0\,\alpha(s+1),\,\beta(s)}\ \sim$$
$$\bar{\omega}^{\,1}_{\alpha(s+2),\,\beta(s-1)}\,(\mathcal{T}^{+}\hat{E}\delta\omega^0)^{\alpha(s+2),\,\beta(s-1)}=0\,, \tag{62}$$

The last line is easy to prove because of a simple form of the operator $\mathcal{T}^{+}$.

It should be stressed that the fermionic action (38) generate the dynamics of a spin $s+3/2$ field which differs from that of [7] only in the form of description of higher spin fields. Following [7], one can calculate the number of physical degrees of freedom N^s:

$$N^s=2(s+2) \tag{63}$$

Since Dirac spinor in three dimensions has two components, we conclude that N^s is equal to the dimensionality of the irreducible γ-transverse rank $s+1$ tensor-spinor representation of the little group $SO(3)$. In other words, the action functional (38) does describe massless fermionic spin $s+3/2$ field in AdS_5. It is worth noting here

that restoring the cosmological constant λ in the linearized higher spin curvatures (31) one can easily find the flat limit $\lambda = 0$ and see that the resulting equations of motion describe a free spin $s + 3/2$ massless field in the flat space.

7 Conclusion

In this work, making use of the well-known isomorfism $o(4,2) \sim su(2,2)$ we described fermionic higher spin fields in AdS_5 as $su(2,2)$ multispinors. We demonstrated that 5d higher spin $s+3/2$ fields can be described by 1-forms $\Omega^{\alpha(s+1)}_{\beta(s)}(x) \equiv dx^{\underline{n}}\Omega_{\underline{n}\ \beta(s)}^{\ \alpha(s+1)}(x)$ and their conjugates which are traceless multispinors symmetric in the upper and lower indices.

We constructed explicitly gauge invariant free fermionic actions which are fixed unambiguously up to an overall factor in front of the action of a given spin. The analysis of free field equations and the counting of physical degrees of freedom demonstrates that the constructed fermionic action does describe a single spin $s + 3/2$ field.

The next problem to be considered is supersymmetric interactions of 5d higher spin gauge fields. The results obtained in [3] for purely bosonic interactions along with the free level consideration of fermions of the present work provide a good starting point for the analysis of supersymmetric higher spin gauge theory [6].

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my thanks to M.A.Vasiliev for creating favourable conditions for work. I am also grateful to him for suggestion to consider the problem, illuminating discussions and critical reading of the manuscript.

I benefited from useful conversations with R.R.Metsaev and I.V.Tyutin. Valuable discussions with A.Yu.Segal on various aspects of the higher spin problem are especially appreciated.

This work is partially supported by grant RFBR N 00-02-17956 .

References

[1] M.A. Vasiliev, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D5 (1996) 763

[2] M.A. Vasiliev, Contributed article to Golfand's Memorial Volume "Many faces of the superwold", ed. by M.Shifman, World Scientific Publishing Co Pte Ltd, Singapore, 2000.

[3] M.A. Vasiliev, "Cubic Interactions of Higher Spin Gauge Fields in AdS_5", `hep-th/0106200`

[4] E.S. Fradkin and V. Ya. Linetsky, Ann. of Phys. 198 (1990) 252.

[5] E. Sezgin and P. Sundell, Doubletons and 5D Higher Spin Gauge Theory, hep-th/0105001.

[6] K.B. Alkalaev and M.A. Vasiliev, in preparation

[7] M.A. Vasiliev, Nucl. Phys. B301 (1988) 26.

[8] M.A. Vasiliev, Fortschr. Phys. 35 (1987) 11, 741

[9] K. Stelle and P. West, Phys. Rep. D21 (1980) 1466

[10] C.R. Prietschopf and M.A. Vasiliev, The Superalgebraic Approach to Supergravity, in Proceedings of 31st International Ahrenshoop Symposium On The Theory Of Elementary Particles, Berlin, Wiley-VCH,1998, 496p.

[11] P.K. Townsend, Phys. Rev. D 15, (1977) 2804

[12] M.A. Vasiliev, Conformal Higher Spin Symmetries of $4d$ Massless Supermultiplets and $osp(L, 2M)$ Invariant Equations in Generalized (Super)Space, hep-th/0106149

[13] L. Brink, R.R. Metsaev and M.A. Vasiliev, Nucl. Phys. B 586 (2000) 183